Multi-Task MERT

Simianer, Wäschle, Riezler

# Multi-Task Minimum Error Rate Training for SMT

#### Patrick Simianer, Katharina Wäschle, Stefan Riezler

Department of Computational Linguistics University of Heidelberg, Germany

### Multi-Task Learning

#### Multi-Task MERT

- Multi-task learning aims at learning several different tasks simultaneously,
  - addressing commonalities through shared parameters
  - and modeling differences through task-specific parameters.
- Predestined application: Patent translation over classes of patents w.r.t. International Patent Classification (IPC)
  - **commonalities:** highly specialized legal jargon not found in everyday language, rigid textual structure including highly formulaic language.
  - differences: technological terminology specific to IPC class.

### **IPC** Sections

#### Multi-Task MERT

- A Human Necessities
- B Performing Operations; Transporting
- C Chemistry; Metallurgy
- D Textiles; Paper
- E Fixed Constructions
- F Mechanical Engineering; Lighting; Heating; Weapons; Blasting
- G Physics
- H Electricity

### Goal and Approach

#### Multi-Task MERT

- Goal: Learn a translation system that performs well across several different patent sections, thus benefits from shared information, and yet is able to address the specifics of each patent section.
- Approach: Machine learning approach to trading off optimality of parameter vectors for each task-specific model and closeness of these model parameters to average parameter vector across models.

### Multi-Task Minimum Error Rate Training

#### Multi-Task MERT

- Assume specific setting: Not enough data for training generative SMT pipeline on all tasks, however, enough data for tuning for each specific task.
- In other words: How much gain is there in extending the standard tuning technique of minimum error rate training (MERT) to multi-task MERT for SMT.
- Also apply techniques for parameter averaging from distributed learning to a version of averaged MERT.

### Parallel Patent Data

#### Multi-Task MERT

- MAREC: 19 million patent applications and granted patents, standardized format from four patent organizations (European Patent Office (EP), World Intellectual Property Organisation (WO), United States Patent and Trademark Office (US), Japan Patent Office (JP)), from 1976 to 2008.
- Extract bilingual abstract and claims sections from the EP and WO parts for German-to-English translation.
- Sentence splitting and tokenizing with Europarl tools<sup>1</sup>.
- Sentence alignment with Gargantua 1.0b<sup>2</sup>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>http://www.statmt.org/europarl/

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>http://sourceforge.net/projects/gargantua/

# Distribution of IPC sections for de-en abstracts and claims



А	266,521	21.81%
В	384,517	31.47%
С	372,903	30.52%
D	50,579	4.14%
Е	54,396	4.45%
F	149,370	12.22%
G	291,671	23.87%
Н	228,147	18.67%

### Parallel data for de-en patent translation

Multi-Task MERT

	train	dev	devtest	test
# parallel sents	1M	2K	2K	2K
avg. $\#$ tokens de	32,329,745	59,376	60,061	59,930
avg. $\#$ tokens en	36,005,763	69,584	70,700	70,331
year	1993-1995	2007	2008	2008

#### Multi-task learning objective

#### Multi-Task MERT

Simianer, Wäschle, Riezler

> Objective: Minimize task-specific loss functions  $I_d$  under regularization of task-specific parameter vectors  $w_d$  towards an average parameter vector  $w_{avg}$ .

$$\min_{w_1,...,w_D} \sum_{d=1}^D I_d(w_d) + \lambda \sum_{d=1}^D \|w_d - w_{\text{avg}}\|_p^p \quad (1)$$

### Multi-task prediction

#### Multi-Task MERT

Simianer, Wäschle, Riezler

#### Prediction:

Task-specific weight vectors  $w_d \in \{w_1, \ldots, w_D\}$ that have been adjusted to trade off task-specificity (small  $\lambda$ ) and commonality (large  $\lambda$ ).

or: Average weight vector  $w_{avg}$  as a global model.

### Average MERT



AvgMERT(
$$w^{(0)}, D, \{c_d\}_{d=1}^D$$
):  
for  $d = 1, ..., D$  parallel do  
for  $t = 1, ..., T$  do  
 $w_d^{(t)} = \text{MERT}(w_d^{(t-1)}, c_d(w_d))$   
end for  
end for  
return  $w_{\text{avg}} = \frac{1}{D} \sum_{d=1}^D w_d^{(T)}$ 

 Apply ideas from distributed learning (Zinkevich et al. NIPS'10) by basing the distribution strategy on task-specific partitions of data.

### Multi-task MERT

#### Multi-Task MERT

Simianer, Wäschle, Riezler

regularization: Set p=1 in equation 1 to obtain an  $\ell_1$  regularizer.

clipping: Weight vector  $w_d$  is moved towards the average weight vector  $w_{avg}$  by adding or subtracting the penalty  $\lambda$  for each weight component  $w_d[k]$ , and clipped when it crosses the average.

code: Script wrapper around the MERT implementation of Bertoldi et al. 2009; licensed unter the LGPL; online at http://www.cl.uni-heidelberg.de/statnlpgroup/mmert/.

### Multi-task MERT

Multi-Task MERT

Simianer, Wäschle, Riezler MMERT $(w^{(0)}, D, \{c_d\}_{d=1}^D)$ : for t = 1, ..., T do  $w_{avg}^{(t)} = \frac{1}{D} \sum_{d=1}^{D} w_{d}^{(t-1)}$ for  $d = 1, \ldots, D$  parallel do  $w_d^{(t)} = \text{MERT}(w_d^{(t-1)}, c_d(w_d))$ for k = 1, ..., K do if  $w[k]_{d}^{(t)} - w_{avg}^{(t)}[k] > 0$  then  $w_{d}^{(t)}[k] = \max(w_{avg}^{(t)}[k], w_{d}^{(t)}[k] - \lambda)$ else if  $w_{d}^{(t)}[k] - w_{avg}^{(t)}[k] < 0$  then  $w_{d}^{(t)}[k] = \min(w_{avg}^{(t)}[k], w_{d}^{(t)}[k] + \lambda)$ end if end for end for end for return  $w_1^{(T)}, \ldots, w_D^{(T)}, w_{avg}^{(T)}$ 

### Experimental Setup

#### Multi-Task MERT

- Open-source Moses SMT system (Koehn et al. 2007); MERT implementation of Bertoldi et al. 2009.
- All systems use same phrase tables and language models, trained on 1M parallel data pooled from all IPC sections.
- ind. systems are tuned on each IPC section separately.
- *pooled* system is tuned on 2K sentences pooled from 250 sentences from each IPC section.
- AvgMERT and MMERT are algorithms described above.
- *w*<sub>avg</sub> is global model produced as by-product in multi-task learning.

### Experimental Evaluation

#### Multi-Task MERT

- All systems evaluated on 8 test sets, each consisting of 2K sentences from a separate IPC domain.
- Statistical significance of pairwise result differences assessed by *p*-values smaller than 0.05 using Approximate Randomization test (Riezler & Maxwell2005).
- statistically significant improvement over *ind* indicated by
  \*
- $\bullet\,$  statistically significant improvement over pooled indicated by  $+\,$
- statistically significant improvement over AvgMERT indicated by #

## Experimental Results

Multi-Task MERT

section	ind.	pooled	AvgMERT	MMERT	Wavg
А	0.5187	0.5199	0.5213*	$0.5195^{\#}$	$0.5196^{\#}$
В	0.4877	0.4885	$0.4908^{*+}$	$0.4911^{*}$	<b>0.4921</b> * <sup>#</sup>
C	0.5214	0.5175	0.5199*+	<b>0.5218</b> <sup>#</sup>	$0.5162^{*\#}$
D	0.4724	0.4730	0.4733	0.4736	0.4734
E	0.4666	0.4661	$0.4679^{*+}$	0.4669	0.4685*
F	0.4794	0.4801	0.4811*	0.4821*	<b>0.4830</b> *#
G	0.4596	0.4576	$0.4607^+$	0.4606	0.4610*
Н	0.4573	0.4560	0.4578	0.4581	0.4581

#### Discussion

#### Multi-Task MERT

- pooled shows no s.s. improvement over ind.
- Best results (**bold face**) achieved by AvgMERT, MMERT, or *w*<sub>avg</sub>.
- Best results are small, but statistically significant improvements over *ind*. and *pooled*.
- Significant degradation on section C ("chemistry") by averaging techniques due to expeptional character of chemical formulae and compound names.
- Interpretation of small improvements with a grain of salt, however, hope for larger improvments with larger feature sets.